

# Public service governance and administration survey

Summary of results and commentary

December 2015

The Pensions  
Regulator

## Foreword

The Public Service Pensions Act 2013 (NI 2014) introduced a number of changes for public service pension schemes, which provide pensions for the armed forces, local government, NHS, teachers, civil servants, the police force, firefighters and the judiciary.

Between them these schemes represent around 13 million members and approximately 28,000 employers, and we recognise they face a significant challenge in implementing the reforms to benefit design alongside new governance arrangements.

High standards of governance and administration are essential to ensure that schemes operate effectively and efficiently, and provide the right benefits to the right person at the right time.

A well run scheme should provide members with a high standard of service and a clear understanding of the benefits they will receive, allowing them to plan for their future. Good governance and administration also help government and the public to have confidence that the cost of public service schemes is correctly accounted for.

Between July and September 2015, we conducted a survey of all public service schemes to baseline the standard to which they are being run. I am pleased to introduce this report which sets out our thoughts on the results of the survey and our priorities for action.

The results tell us that progress is being made – nine in ten respondent schemes have established their pension boards, and schemes have done well in setting up new processes. However, the governance and administration standards of some schemes still fall short of standards we expect, and we urge schemes to take immediate action to identify gaps and put plans in place to resolve issues.

In the next year, part of our focus will be to ensure that every scheme reaches a basic level of compliance, having registered with us and published information about their pension boards. We also expect all schemes to have assessed themselves against the law and our code of practice, and we will be launching a self-assessment tool to help schemes achieve this.

We will work to understand how well schemes are addressing the three areas we judge to be of greatest risk in the current landscape – internal controls, scheme record-keeping, and the provision of accurate, timely and high quality communications to members.

We will continue to work with scheme managers, pension boards, and others involved in running public service schemes and provide a range of educational tools to support them in their duties.

I would like to thank all schemes who took part in the survey, as you have helped us gain a good understanding of the landscape. We aim to work openly and collaboratively with schemes and we will engage further with schemes who did not take part to ensure their lack of engagement does not reflect a lack of compliance.

Thank you for taking the time to read this report – I hope you find it useful and informative.



Andrew Warwick-Thompson  
Executive Director for Regulatory Policy

## Background

The Public Service Pensions Act 2013 (PSPA13) and Public Service Pensions Act (Northern Ireland) 2014 (PSPANI14) introduced new requirements for the governance and administration of public service pension schemes. In April 2015, we commenced our expanded role to regulate these schemes.

Our role is to regulate the in relation to governance and administration of public service pension schemes to improve standards and drive compliance with legal requirements. Our focus is to work with scheme managers, pension boards and others involved with public service schemes to help them become compliant. Our approach generally is to educate and enable in the first instance, but where a scheme manager or pension board member (or other person responsible) fails to comply with their duties we will consider using our powers.

## The survey

In summer 2015, we conducted a survey of all public service schemes to assess how they are meeting the governance and administration legal requirements and the standard to which they are being run. The survey reflected the key tools and processes we consider to be benchmarks for good practice, as set out in the 'practical guidance' sections of our code, and could be used as a tool for the schemes to identify areas where action may be needed.

This report accompanies the full research report which sets out the responses to all survey questions.

Participation in the survey was voluntary, with 48% of schemes responding. This translates to approximately 85% of public service scheme members, and provides us with a good overview of the public service pensions landscape.

Information collected through the survey will be used for regulatory purposes where responses were not provided anonymously. We will use these to develop individual scheme risk profiles. Where schemes did not participate in the survey, we will consider there is a risk of non-compliance until we have collected information about the progress they have made.



Our role is to regulate public service pension schemes to improve standards and drive compliance with legal requirements.

# Overview of results


## Progress on processes

The results of the survey show that, on the whole, public service schemes are progressing well in terms of understanding the new requirements and setting up processes. Respondents to the survey reported high levels of awareness and understanding of both the governance and administration requirements introduced by the Acts and our code of practice:

- ▶ 97% reported high awareness of the requirements in the Acts, and 87% reported good understanding.
- ▶ 93% reported high awareness of our code, and 84% reported good understanding.

There were also high levels of reported processes in place against most areas of the code.

### Results overview



## Overview of results

- ▶ 78% of schemes reported having developed policies and arrangements to help pension board members fully understand their roles, responsibilities and duties.
- ▶ 87% of schemes have a conflicts policy and procedure in place for pension board members.
- ▶ 87% of schemes reported having procedures in place to ensure that information about the pension board which must be published is published and kept up to date.
- ▶ 76% had documented procedures for assessing and managing risk.
- ▶ 77% had policies and processes in place to monitor data on an ongoing basis to ensure that it is accurate and complete in relation to all relevant member and beneficiary categories.
- ▶ 97% had a method or process for monitoring the payment of contributions to the scheme.

The lowest result in terms of processes was around reporting breaches, where only 55% of schemes reported having procedures in place to enable the scheme manager, pension board members, and others who have a duty to report, to identify and assess breaches of the law.


Identifying and assessing breaches of the law is critical both in terms of fulfilling the legal duty to report breaches to us and in reducing risk, so it is important that schemes address this issue. Whilst we will strive to regulate proactively and investigate issues we consider to be high risk, reporting breaches is a key means by which we are made aware as soon as possible when things are going wrong. Accordingly, we urge schemes to establish and operate appropriate and effective procedures to help them meet their legal obligation. Our code provides guidance on this matter.

In addition, we expect well-run schemes to have in place appropriate tools and processes for all nine areas addressed in our code – but only 43% of schemes reported having all the processes outlined above in place.

We also expect schemes to ensure that any processes developed are kept under regular review to ensure they remain effective and fit for purpose. According to the survey, only 72% of schemes review/will review the effectiveness of their risk management and internal control systems at least annually, and over 10% of schemes report they never review their internal dispute resolution arrangements.

## Need to take action


In contrast to the good progress made on setting up processes, the survey shows that schemes are slow or have yet to take action in key governance and administration areas and are still in the early stages of assessing themselves against the legal requirements and standards in the code.



have reviewed their scheme against the standards



**Less than a third** have a plan in place to ensure compliance with the Public Service Pensions Act 2013



- ▶ 44% have measured against the record-keeping requirements
- ▶ just over a quarter have done data cleansing



**76%** of schemes have procedures in place to manage risk

**82%** have a risk register

Only **56%** assess their risks at least quarterly

- ▶ While over nine in ten schemes have established a pension board, only 28% of schemes have a plan in place and are addressing key issues to ensure compliance with the new requirements.
- ▶ Only 44% have reviewed their scheme against the practical guidance and standards set out in our code of practice.
- ▶ Only 45% of schemes have measured themselves against the requirements of the record-keeping regulations.
- ▶ Only 27% have as a result undertaken a data cleansing exercise. More generally, only 71% have conducted a data review exercise in the last year.
- ▶ While 76% of schemes have procedures in place to manage risk, and 82% report having a risk register, only 56% assess their risks either quarterly or monthly.



We recognise the complexity and diversity of the landscape.

## Differences between schemes

Though the data in this commentary are presented at an aggregate level for all public service schemes, we recognise the complexity and diversity of the landscape. Schemes vary in their governance structures, employer profiles, size and funding arrangements and each scheme will have its own needs and capabilities, and face its own challenges in implementing the reforms.

This is supported by the findings which show differences between scheme cohorts. In particular, the survey suggests that fire and rescue schemes have not made as much progress in taking steps to meet the new requirements as other schemes, whether in setting up processes or taking specific action. Over the next year, we will engage with these schemes' managers, pension board members, and other stakeholders to identify barriers to progress and support them in meeting their duties.

## Next steps

This research draws out the continuing significant task faced by schemes in implementing the major reforms. However, schemes need to ensure they comply with the legal requirements and should strive to deliver better outcomes for members.

Over the next year, we will be looking to ensure that every scheme reaches a basic level of compliance, as well as looking at the effectiveness of processes in areas we have identified as being of greatest risk in the current landscape: internal controls, scheme record-keeping and the provision of accurate and high quality communications to members.

## Next steps

In terms of basic compliance, it is critical that all schemes have:

- ▶ fulfilled their requirement to register with us
- ▶ established their pension board
- ▶ published information about the board, which will provide more transparency to members on the governance of the scheme

Schemes also need to have:

- ▶ assessed themselves against the requirements set out in legislation
- ▶ assessed themselves against the standards set out in our code
- ▶ identified any gaps
- ▶ begun to put plans in place to address any issues

In addition to the code and our public service toolkit, we would like schemes to use this survey to assess themselves. We will also be launching a self-assessment tool in 2016. We urge schemes to use these tools to help them identify any problems and take swift action to make improvements. We are concerned that the failure of 52% of schemes to engage with the survey may reflect a lack of compliance, and we will be engaging with these schemes to determine their compliance profile. We expect all schemes to respond to our requests for information.

We plan to look at schemes' processes in the key risk areas over the next year, focusing on:

- ▶ the effectiveness of these processes and actions in driving good outcomes
- ▶ the efficiency and reliability of these processes
- ▶ how good practice in one scheme can help inform others with poorer practices

Public service schemes have complex governance structures, where responsible authorities and scheme advisory boards will also have a role in helping scheme managers achieve compliance. We will be working throughout the year with these various bodies to ensure that our respective efforts are applied in the most effective way and to minimise the burden on schemes.

In spring 2016, we will check how schemes are doing and we expect them to have made significant progress. Looking ahead, we plan to publish an annual assessment of governance and administration standards and practices in public service schemes in order to bring greater transparency to the progress being made.



## How to contact us

Napier House  
Trafalgar Place  
Brighton  
BN1 4DW

0845 600 0707  
customersupport@tpr.gov.uk  
[www.tpr.gov.uk](http://www.tpr.gov.uk)

[www.trusteetoolkit.com](http://www.trusteetoolkit.com)  
Free online learning for trustees

[www.pensionseducationportal.com](http://www.pensionseducationportal.com)  
Free online learning for those running public service schemes

## **Public service governance and administration survey** Summary of results and commentary

© The Pensions Regulator December 2015

You can reproduce the text in this publication as long as you quote The Pensions Regulator's name and title of the publication. Please contact us if you have any questions about this publication. This document aims to be fully compliant with WCAG 2.0 accessibility standards and we can produce it in Braille, large print or in audio format. We can also produce it in other languages.

**The Pensions  
Regulator**

